

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
07 <i>.</i> 946_498117.037.92 .	BIRNSTIEL	M	0652.108000
18M2/1222 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX 1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934	18M2/1222	EISENSTAMENT, F	
	<b>ы.</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WHONINGTON, PC 20005 5		DATE MAILED.	12/22/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

I have waster was a first

## COUMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

COMMISSIONER OF FALLING TWO COMMISSION OF THE PARTY OF TH
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 1) 29 94 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: Appellant's proposed amendment changes the scape of Chim 17, necessary full terresting, i would enquise New consideration ander ejections
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered X will not be entered and/he status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: NONE DAYON TACTY
Claims objected to: NONE  Claims objected to: NONE  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
Claims rejected: 1-20, 28-21, 32-37, 36-40 SUPERVISION FAIRNI EXAMINER
However;
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not exercise the rejection because all skilled in the ART could have been achieved to introduce HIV secrific Ribosymes Into cells to instrume the genetic metalog. Applicant's specification is directed safety to thorapy the filling of the introduce applicant has not shown good and sufficency easier presented. Arguments are not persuasive. Reperting the 103 secretion, Applicant's investion is the substitution of the transform of specific Hb. The use of specific Ab allows for the proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.  The transform of a classen tangel cell. It remains obvious to use different sering agents to introduce DNA into a tangel cell known to express A tangel mutigen.
tright of his process of the contract of the c